ANTIGENIC SIMILARITY BETWEEN THE β -SUBUNITS OF THE ATPases OF A BACTERIUM, A YEAST AND A HIGHER PLANT

ANTHONY A. GATENBY* and STEVEN J. ROTHSTEINT

Plant Breeding Institute, Trumpington, Cambridge CB2 2LQ, U.K.

(Revised received 25 June 1984)

Key Word Index—Triticum aestivum; wheat; Saccharomyces cerevisiae; yeast; Escherichia coli; bacterium; ATPase; membranes; antigenic homology; chloroplast protein.

Abstract—Antiserum raised against the β -subunit of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) chloroplast ATPase cross-reacts with a 51 000 protein located in the membrane fraction of *Escherichia coli*. The differential solubility of this polypeptide after chloroform treatment of unc^+ and uncD409 strains indicates that this cross-reacting polypeptide is the bacterial β -subunit of ATPase. Thus a high degree of conservation of antigenic determinant sites exists between a bacterial β -subunit and the β -subunit of a monocot. This conservation also seems to extend to the β -subunit of mitochondrial ATPase of yeast (*Saccharomyces cerevisiae*).

INTRODUCTION

The synthesis of ATP in bacteria, chloroplast and mitochondria is driven by a transmembrane proton flux through the membrane-bound adenosine triphosphatase complex (reviewed in refs. [1-3]). The ATPase complex has an intrinsic F₀ portion involved in gating the proton flux and an extrinsic F_1 portion bearing the catalytic site for ATP synthesis or hydrolysis. The extrinsic F_1 portion of both E. coli and plant chloroplasts contains five types of subunit $(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta)$ and ϵ , with different MWs. The suggested active site of the enzyme for ATP synthesis is located on the β -subunit in both chloroplasts [1, 4] and E. coli [3]. A comparison of the DNA sequences of the β subunit genes from E. coli [5], spinach [6] and maize [7] reveals that the three proteins have strikingly similar amino acid sequences. This sequence is also shared with the bovine mitochondrial β -subunit [5].

Such a high degree of conserved sequence homology might be expected for a subunit which contains an active site. Consistent with this conclusion is the observation that regions of the β -subunit also have homology with other ATP-binding proteins [3]. In addition, immunological studies have revealed homology between the β -subunits of the dicot plant Swiss chard (Beta vulgaris), E. coli and Saccharomyces cerevisiae mitochondria [8]. In this report we extend these comparisons and demonstrate conservation of antigenic determinant sites in the β -subunit between the other main evolutionary division of angiosperms, namely the monocots, E. coli and yeast mitochondria.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

When total labelled proteins from E. coli strain W3350 were incubated with antiserum against the β -subunit of wheat chloroplasts, a single polypeptide was immunoabsorbed. This polypeptide has a MW of 51 000, which is in close agreement with the size of 50157 predicted from DNA sequence analysis of the E. coli β -gene [5]. To verify further the identification of this polypeptide, the labelled E. coli cells were fractionated into washed membrane and soluble protein fractions. The immunologically reacting polypeptide is located primarily in the membrane fraction, although a small amount can be detected in the soluble fraction after a longer exposure of the autoradiograph (data not shown). This distribution is the anticipated result for the β -subunit which is located primarily in the membrane fraction as part of the membrane-bound ATPase complex. A similar result was obtained for the other two E. coli strains used.

Additional identification of the immunoabsorbed protein was obtained by making use of an unusual property of a mutant E. coli β-subunit. Fayle et al. [9] have shown that when the membranes from a strain containing a mutant β subunit (uncD409 allele) are treated with chloroform, the β -subunit is not released. In contrast, the β -subunit of wild-type membranes is released by chloroform treatment. To exploit this information and to help identify the immunoprecipitated membrane proteins, washed membranes were isolated from C600 (unc+) and AN817 (uncD409) and the proteins were treated with antiserum against that β -subunit. It was found that membranes for both strains contain similar amounts of the cross-reacting protein. The membranes were then treated with chloroform and the soluble protein fraction reacted with antiwheat β -serum. We observed that in the unc⁺ strain the immunoprecipitated protein is released by chloroform treatment, but in the uncD409 strain the protein is not released (data not shown). Thus the solubility properties after chloroform treatment of the protein immunoabsorbed by anti-wheat β -serum confirms that the $E.\ coli$

^{*}Present address: Institute for Enzyme Research, University of Wisconsin, Madison, WI 53706, U.S.A.

[†] Present address: Ciba-Geigy Biotechnology, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, U.S.A.

protein cross-reacting with anti-wheat β -serum is the β -subunit of the membrane-bound ATPase complex. $E.\ coli$ β -subunits therefore share antigenic homology with the β -subunits of wheat, a monocot. This structural conservation must be widely distributed in the plant kingdom because similar homology exists between a dicot and $E.\ coli$ [8].

To extend this comparison of β -ATPase subunits to yeast, labelled proteins of yeast were incubated with anti-wheat β -serum to see if the yeast mitochondrial β -subunit would also cross-react. A single yeast polypeptide was recognized by the anti-wheat β -serum. Although we have not rigorously demonstrated that this cross-reacting protein is the yeast β -ATPase subunit, it is very likely to be so based on the previously demonstrated homology between yeast and Swiss chard β -subunits of ATPase [8].

EXPERIMENTAL

Antiserum raised against the β -subunit of ATPase from wheat (T. aestivum) chloroplasts was a generous gift from J. C. Gray. The E. coli strains used were W3350 [10], C600 [11] and AN817 (uncD409) [12]. The S. cerevisiae strain used was MC 16 [13]. For labelling E. coli cells with [35S]methionine, the cultures were grown in M9 minimal medium [14] supplemented with 1 % (w/v) methionine assay medium (Difco) and $40 \mu g/ml$ each of threonine and leucine for C600, and 40 µg/ml arginine and 10 μ g/ml uracil for AN817. Cells were grown to $A_{600} = 0.5$, and 10 μCi [35S]methionine was added to 1 ml of culture. After addition of the methionine, the culture was incubated for 1 hr at 37° and the cells were sonicated and then fractionated into washed membranes and soluble protein fractions [15]. The soluble protein fraction was precipitated with 5 vols. cold Me₂CO and the membrane pellet rinsed with 1 ml 80% Me₂CO. After air-drying, the Me₂CO-treated proteins were dissolved by boiling in 100 μ l 2% (w/v) SDS and diluted with 1 ml 1% Triton X-100, 0.15 M NaCl, 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.8, and 2 mM EDTA. Incubation with antiserum, absorption to protein A Sepharose, gel electrophoresis and fluorography were carried out as described elsewhere [16, 17]. Gels were calibrated with 14Cmethylated protein markers containing lysozyme (14000), carbonic anhydrase (30 000), ovalbumin (46 000), bovine serum albumin (69 000), phosphorylase b (92 000) and myosin (200 000). For CHCl₃ solubilization of β -subunits, the method of Cox et al. [18] was adopted. Membrane pellets that had been washed in 10 mM Tris-H₂SO₄ (pH 7.5), 1 mM EDTA and 6 mM paminobenzamidine were resuspended in 200 μ l of the same buffer, 100 µl CHCl₃ was added and the mixture was vortexmixed for 30 sec. Membranes from the aq. phase were collected

by centrifugation [15], and after Me₂CO treatment of supernatant and membranes as described above, they were processed for incubation with antiserum.

Yeast cells were grown in 0.67% yeast nitrogen base, 2% glucose and $20 \mu g/ml$ adenine, histidine and lysine and labelled with $10 \mu Ci [^{35}S]$ methionine for 60 min. The cells were converted into protoplasts with zymolyase, and the protein was isolated and immunoprecipitated as described above for labelled $E.\ coli$ cells.

Acknowledgements—We are grateful to J. C. Gray for antiserum and N. E. Murray, F. Gibson and J. D. Beggs for strains. Financial support was provided by the National Research and Development Corporation.

REFERENCES

- 1. McCarty, R. E. (1979) Annu. Rev. Plant Physiol. 30, 79.
- Downie, J. A., Gibson, F. and Cox, G. B. (1979) Annu. Rev. Biochem. 48, 103.
- 3. Amzel, L. M. and Pederson, P. L. (1983) Annu. Rev. Biochem.
- 4. Nelson, N. (1976) Biochim. Biophys. Acta 456, 314.
- Saraste, M., Gay, N. J., Eberle, A., Runswick, M. J. and Walker, J. E. (1981) Nucl. Acids Res. 9, 5287.
- Zurawski, G., Bottomley, W. and Whitfield, P. R. (1982) Proc. Nutl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 79, 6260.
- Krebbers, E. T., Larrinua, I. M., McIntosh, L. and Bogorad, L. (1982) Nucl. Acids Res. 10, 4985.
- 8. Rott, R. and Nelson, N. (1981) J. Biol. Chem. 256, 9224.
- Fayle, D. R. H., Downie, J. A., Cox, G. B., Gibson, F. and Radik, J. (1978) Biochem. J. 172, 523.
- 10. Campbell, A. (1961) Virology 14, 22.
- 11. Appleyard, R. K. (1954) Genetics 39, 440.
- Cox, G. B., Downie, J. A., Gibson, F. and Radik, J. (1978) Biochem. J. 170, 593.
- Beggs, J. D., van den Berg, J., van Ooyen, A. and Weissman,
 C. (1980) Nature (London) 283, 835.
- Miller, J. H. (1972) Experiments in Molecular Genetics. Cold Spring Harbor Laboratory, New York.
- Hansen, F. G., Neilson, J., Riise, E. and von Meyenburg, K. (1981) Mol. Gen. Genet. 183, 463.
- Gatenby, A. A., Castleton, J. A. and Saul, M. W. (1981) Nature (London) 291, 117.
- Gatenby, A. A. and Castleton, J. A. (1982) Mol. Gen. Genet. 185, 424.
- Cox, G. B., Downie, J. A., Fayle, D. R. H., Gibson, F. and Radik, J. (1978) J. Bacteriol. 133, 287.